### WAVERLEY BOROUGH COUNCIL

## MINUTES OF THE JOINT PLANNING COMMITTEE - 8 JUNE 2015

## SUBMITTED TO THE COUNCIL MEETING - 21 JULY 2015

(To be read in conjunction with the Agenda for the Meeting)

## Present

Cllr Brian Adams Cllr Paddy Blagden Cllr Maurice Byham Cllr Carole Cockburn Cllr David Else Cllr Mary Foryszewski Cllr Pat Frost Cllr Michael Goodridge Cllr John Gray Cllr Christiaan Hesse Cllr Stephen Hill Cllr Nicholas Holder Cllr David Hunter Cllr Anna James Cllr Andy MacLeod Cllr Stephen Mulliner Cllr Stewart Stennett Cllr Chris Storey Cllr Bob Upton Cllr John Williamson Cllr Brian Ellis Cllr Simon Inchbald

## Apologies Cllr Peter Isherwood and Cllr Liz Wheatley

# Also Present

Councillor Wyatt Ramsdale

1. <u>APPOINTMENT OF CHAIRMAN</u> (Agenda item 1.)

Councillor Peter Isherwood was confirmed as Chairman of the Joint Planning Committee 2015/16.

2. <u>APPOINTMENT OF VICE-CHAIRMAN</u> (Agenda item 2.)

Councillor Maurice Byham was confirmed as Vice-Chairman of the Joint Planning Committee 2015/16. In the absence of the chairman for the meeting, Cllr Maurice Byham was in the Chair.

3. <u>MINUTES</u> (Agenda item 3.)

The Minutes of the meeting held on 21 April 2015 were confirmed as an accurate record.

4. <u>APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE AND DECLARATIONS OF SUBSTITUTES</u> (Agenda item 4.)

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Peter Isherwood and Liz Wheatley. Councillor Simon Inchbald attended as a substitute.

### 5. <u>DECLARATIONS OF INTERESTS</u> (Agenda item 5.)

A Non-Pecuniary Interest in application A1 was declared by all Members of Farnham Town Council.

### PART I - RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE COUNCIL

There were no matters falling within this category.

#### PART II - MATTERS OF REPORT

#### Background Papers

The background papers relating to the following report items in Part II are as specified in the agenda for the Committee.

#### 6. <u>APPLICATION FOR PLANNING PERMISSION - WA/2015/0317, LAND AT BAKER</u> <u>OATES STABLES, GARDENERS ROAD, WRECCLESHAM</u> (Agenda item 7.)

#### Proposed development

Outline application, with all matters reserved except access, for the erection of up to 43 dwellings together with associated works following demolition of existing equestrian buildings (revision of WA/2014/2028) (as amended by details received 31/03/2015 & 06/05/2015) at Land At Baker Oates Stables, Gardeners Hill Road, Wrecclesham

The Chairman introduced the Officers present and referred Members to the proposed order of business for the meeting.

With reference to the report circulated with the agenda, Officers presented a summary of the planning history of the site, and the current plans and proposals. Officers showed pictures of the site and plans for the application. Officers outlined the matters of principle/technical judgement and those matters of judgement and advised members of information received following the agenda being published and detailed in the update sheet. This included the applicants agreeing to provide an additional contribution towards recycling containers, a response from the Council's Flood Risk Consultants. Furthermore, there were 59 additional letters of representation but these had not raised any new material considerations.

Officers advised the Committee that the application was for outline planning permission with all matters reserved except for means of access and reminded Members that the NPPF required that the benefits of the scheme needed to be balanced against any negative aspects of the scheme. Officers advised that although their preference was that previously developed land would be developed prior to green field sites, the Council could not currently identify a deliverable supply of housing sites from the identified sites which would sufficiently meet the housing demand for the next five years. The site was not located within the AONB or the AGLV and officers felt that the site would result in the loss of alienation of the best and most versatile agricultural land. It was recognised that the scheme would result in an increase in traffic movements, however, the officers highlighted the responses from the County Highway Authority that concluded that the access and highway improvements put forward would go beyond what was necessary to accommodate

the increase in traffic. In conclusion and on-balance, the Officers were recommending that the scheme should be approved subject to a number of conditions and informatives as detailed in the update sheet.

Following the officers presentation and before the Committee debated the application, in accordance with the guidance for public participation at meetings, each party was given the opportunity to speak for up to 5 minutes. The following people spoke to the application:

Richard Walker - Objector Cllr John Ward – Farnham Town Council Asher Ross - Applicant/Agent

Councillor Wyatt Ramsdale, the Ward Councillor for the application also spoke on the application for up to 4minutes.

Having heard the officers' presentation as well as the representations from the objectors and the applicant and supporter, Members were invited to ask any further questions or to seek clarification on areas of concern from the officers.

The Committee compared the current proposal with the previous refused scheme (WA/2014/2028) and asked for clarification on what changes had been made. members were advised that the applicants had tried to address previous refusal grounds by increasing the provision of affordable housing from 32.5% to 40, the housing mix had been amended, there was an additional ecological survey carried out and supporting information provided in relation to the impact on the countryside and the applicants had agreed to enter in a legal agreement to secure final contributions.

The Committee noted that the Highway Authority had commissioned an independent safety audit of the proposed highway works. This had recommended a number of improvements, furthermore, the applicants would be implementing a highway improvement scheme to improve the walking environment on Gardeners Hill road, where interaction between pedestrians and vehicles in the carriageway already occurred. Members considered the proposals and were extremely concerned that the improvements proposed would not go far enough to protect the safety of pedestrians on Gardeners Hill Road. In particular, the green advisory pedestrian strip with associated road markings and signage was considered to be dangerous for people walking on the lane. Although the five year collision data along Gardeners Hill Road showed that there had not been any collisions which involved pedestrians, it was felt that this was because it was already a non-safe walking environment so people rarely used this road to walk along and was a "ratrun" for traffic avoiding the main road through the Bourne and the 30mph speed signs would not go far enough on a road which was particularly dangerous with a number of access points.

The Committee reviewed the reasons for refusal under the previous scheme WA/2014/2028 and did not feel that the applicants had adequately addressed these reasons, therefore, they agreed that the application should be refused.

#### Decision

Resolved to REFUSE permission for the following reasons:

- 1. The proposal, by virtue of the number of dwellings, scale, urbanising impact and harm to the landscape character, would cause material and detrimental harm to the character and setting of the existing settlement and the intrinsic character, beauty and openness of the countryside contrary to Policies 17 and 118 of the National Planning Policy Framework 2012. The adverse impact would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits of the proposal when assessed against the policies of the National Planning Policy Framework 2012 taken as a whole.
- 2. The proposal would amount to an unsustainable form of development as it would result in new isolated dwellings in the country side where no special circumstances exist to justify the proposal. The proposal would therefore fail to comply with the requirements of paragraphs 17 and 55 of the National Planning Policy Framework 2012.
- 3. The Council has strong concerns about the highway safety of the proposal and the applicant has failed to enter into an appropriate legal agreement to secure a programme of highway improvement works to mitigate the impact of traffic generated by the development, as such the proposal would fail to effectively limit the significant impacts of the development. Furthermore, the proposal would therefore fail to improve accessibility to the site by non-car modes of travel. The application therefore fails to meet the transport requirements f the National Planning Policy Framework 2012 and Policies M2 and M14 of the Waverley Borough Local Plan 2002.
- 4. The applicant has failed to enter into an appropriate legal agreement to secure contributions towards secondary education, highways improvements, playing pitches and recycling containers and therefore the proposal conflicts with Policies D13 and D14 of the Waverley Borough Local Plan 2002.

#### The meeting commenced at 7.00 pm and concluded at 8.35 pm

Chairman