Annexe 6

Call in protocol for Overview and Scrutiny Committees
Annexe 6 – Call in protocol for overview and scrutiny committees

1. That the instigator of the call-in, consult with officers about the criteria and consult and inform the relevant Chairman on the Committee prior to proceeding with the call-in.

   **Rationale**

   To attain clarity of intention in the working and for inclusion purposes.

2. That the Chair shall give consideration to relinquishing the Chair for an item of call-in where to do otherwise might lead to an impression of partiality.

   **Rationale**

   To demonstrate the impartiality of the Chair, particularly where an individual Chair had strong interests in relation to the debate.

3. Ideally, reports should not be circulated on the night (unless in extremely urgent circumstances) but in advance of the meeting at the same time as the agenda.

   **Rationale**

   In order to allow Members sufficient time to assimilate information and for its integrity to be checked by the officers if necessary.

4. Order of Debate

   (a) Lead Officer to be called to introduce the report.

   (b) Chairman of the relevant Overview and Scrutiny Committee or a spokesperson for the 3 Members who have signed the call-in form to have the opportunity to introduce the subject and explain their reasons for call-in, within a time limit of 10 minutes.

   (c) Portfolio Holder (if present) to present decision of Executive and to call any witnesses in support of that decision.

   (d) General debate by Members of the Committee.

   (e) Committee makes decision regarding the call-in.
5. Rights of Portfolio Holders

Any Portfolio Holder who is called as a witness shall have the right to be accompanied by an officer or other person of their choosing to assist them at the meeting.

6. Schedule of Meetings

(a) Following the announcement of the date of the meeting within six working days of the call-in, where possible at least three to five working days expire between the call-in meeting and the reconsideration of the decision at Cabinet.

(b) Rationale:

(c) To allow proper reconsideration of the decision.
CALL-IN FORM

TO: Head of Policy and Governance

FROM: .................................................................

1. What decision is being called-in?

2. Why is the decision being called-in?
The Constitution states that call-in should only be used as a last resort when the
principles set out under Article 13 of the constitution have not been adhered to. These
principles are also set out at the bottom of this form.

I / We call-in this decision because:

3. Who is calling-in the decision? (Please note: Each member noted below must
have written/emailed/phoned the Head of Policy and Governance to confirm that they
support the call-in)

Councillor (Main contact)
Councillor
Councillor

4. What information do you want to be available at the call-in meeting?
(Please underline any of the following options that you would like to see being provided
at this meeting)

Portfolio Holder to be present at the meeting

Portfolio Holder to make a presentation at the meeting

Relevant Officer to make a presentation

Expert witness or interested party to be present

Site visit

Comparative research

Additional written information

The Constitution states that call-in should only be used as a last resort when the
principles set out under Article 13 of the constitution have not been adhered to. These
principles are also set out at the bottom of this form.

Portfolio Holder to be present at the meeting

Portfolio Holder to make a presentation at the meeting

Relevant Officer to make a presentation

Expert witness or interested party to be present

Site visit

Comparative research

Additional written information
NOTES
The constitution suggests that call-in should only be used in exceptional circumstances. Call-in may occur if Members believe that a decision has not been made in accordance with the following principles:

- Proportionality – i.e. the decision must be proportionate to the desired outcome
- Due consultation with the relevant parties and Officers
- Human rights have been respected
- There has been a presumption in favour of openness
- Clear aims and desirable outcomes have been identified
- An explanation has not been given for what options were considered in arriving at a
decision and the reason for that decision.

If Members are uncertain whether any of the above criteria would apply to a decision that they are concerned about, they may wish to contact the Head of Policy and Governance for advice.